1. Introduction
   - Workshop Format
   - Objectives

2. Opening Comments from Jury Members

3. Public Input Review

4. Park 'Type' Descriptions

5. Operations Alternatives
   A. Sole Provider: Jury operates a comprehensive Parks and Recreation Program (cities, towns and communities rely on the Parish Parks and Recreation Department).
   
   B. Joint Venture: Working together, the Parish develops some facilities and cities, towns and communities pursue their own facilities, while all work together to supplement facilities and provide programs
   
   C. Independent: The Parish implements facilities and programs independent from the other cities, towns and communities, while they "do their own thing".

6. Concept One
   A. Likes
   B. Dislikes
   C. Take-aways

7. Concept Two
   A. Likes
   B. Dislikes
   C. Take-aways
8. Concept Three
   A. Likes
   B. Dislikes
   C. Take-aways

9. Other approaches
   A. Build a regional park and create programs specific to its infrastructure
   B. Build a regional park and make recreation improvements to the four libraries. Create applicable programs specific to this infrastructure.
   C. Create a programs oriented operation utilizing existing facilities
   D. Organize a comprehensive, long range master plan and develop a coordinated Parks and Recreation Department with facilities and programs which capitalize on parish-wide economies to deliver the "most bang for the buck" – Plan for the next 20 years and implement in phases.
   E. Other ideas?

10. Discussion

11. Establish a consensus

12. Schedule
   A. May – Preliminary concept, operations plan, organizational strategy, cost estimate and meeting to review
   B. July – Schematic design, operations estimate, facilities estimate and meeting
   C. Public Referendum